

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No. 09/937,469	Applicant(s) ECKEL ET AL.
	Examiner Peter Szekely	Art Unit 1714

**All Participants:**

(1) Peter Szekely.

**Status of Application:** Allowed

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) James Franks.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 20 November 2003

**Time:** 4:30p.m.

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic
- Video Conference
- Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*112 second paragraph*

Claims discussed:

*6, 11, 12, 15 and 16*

Prior art documents discussed:

*None*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The improper Markush language will be corrected and the indefinite word "derivatives" will be deleted.*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)